	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/966,851	MUIR, DAVID HUGH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Panos	3713
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearable claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RICOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	OR REMAINS) CLOSED ir or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. TH
1. X This communication is responsive to <u>June 16, 2005</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-18 and 30-39</u> .		
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	been received. been received in Applicatio	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMETHIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	ENT of this application.	
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives 		
 5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.6 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposed attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F. 	Amendment / Comment or B4(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview So Paper No./ 3), 7. ☐ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance

XUAN M. THAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-18 and 30-39 are allowable. The restriction requirement between inventions Species I and II, as set forth in the Office action mailed on March 30, 2004, has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Claims 19-21, which required all the limitations of an allowable claim, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of the restriction requirement, were canceled by applicant in the reply filed on June 16, 2005. The canceled, nonelected claim(s) may be reinstated by applicant if submitted in a timely filed amendment in reply to this action. Upon entry of the amendment, such amended claim(s) will be examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as set forth above, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of an allowable claim is presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 3713

Allowable Subj ct Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Muir et al. (US Patent No. 6,644,664) discloses a gaming machine (Fig 1) with a display unit capable of generating video images (Fig 1, reference 20) with a user input device (Fig. 1, reference 24.1) and a value input device (Fig. reference 24.2). The machine has a controller coupled to the display unit, both input devices, and comprises a processor and a memory (Fig 2). The controller receives player selected game options from the input device (Column 3). The input is the number of lines to be bet (Column 2, lines 46-51). The player can select the paylines from a number of available paylines. However, it is not clear that the reference teaches that the available paylines can be indicative of either a first game evaluation or a second game evaluation because the user does not select whether symbol(s) is/are three-dimensional or kept twodimensional. Moreover, Muir et al. teaches only three-dimensional blocks. The reference only teaches that the paylines can be made through the top faces of the elements or can be arranged in a zigzag manner in order to complete a payline using the side faces. This is clearly not as the Applicant's invention shows. Further, Muir et al. teaches a controller that allows a person to place a wager (column 3, lines 4-10) and is programmed to cause a three-dimensional video image to be generated on the display unit wherein the three-dimensional video image represents a casino game (Fig. 3). The controller does perform win evaluations based on the paylines, which has a payout based on the outcomes, but does not disclose separate evaluations such as a first win evaluation and a second win evaluation with three-dimensional and twoApplication/Control Number: 09/966,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 3713

dimensional symbols, respectively. The user's selections involve inputs to select how many paylines and how many credits to be bet. Since the selections do not involve changing the symbols' appearance, then there is no disclosure for any transparent overlay in order to make the selection of which specific symbols the user would like to select to change to three-dimensional. Claims 1-18 and 30-39 are therefore allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Jeffrey C. Panos

June 2, 2006

XUAN M. THAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER